

6-28-2004

PVN-CAT-598-H-007-001-MANO

Charles Webber
Kenyon College

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digital.kenyon.edu/honduras>

 Part of the Archaeological Anthropology Commons

Recommended Citation

Webber, Charles, "PVN-CAT-598-H-007-001-MANO" (2004). *Four Valleys Archive*. Paper 81710.
<https://digital.kenyon.edu/honduras/81710>

This Catalog Sheet is brought to you for free and open access by the Anthropology at Digital Kenyon: Research, Scholarship, and Creative Exchange. It has been accepted for inclusion in Four Valleys Archive by an authorized administrator of Digital Kenyon: Research, Scholarship, and Creative Exchange. For more information, please contact noltj@kenyon.edu.

PROYECTO VALLE DE CACAULAPA
CATALOG FORM

DATE: 28 June 2004

LOT NUMBER: 598 H 1007

SUBSTANCE: Stone

OBJECT: Mano

CATALOG #: 1

MULTIPLES? - DRAWN BY: -

CATALOGED BY: 22

EXCAVATOR: -

DIAMETER (only if item is basically circular; take average):

HGT/LENGTH: at least 11.46cm

WIDTH: 7.26cm THICKNESS: 5.86cm

VERBAL DESCRIPTION:

This is a whole-partial mano. If a partial mano, it is an end-a midsection.

The material is: vesicular basalt - other (describe or name)--

In cross-section, this item is: round - oval - square - rectangular - multi-sided. If multi-sided, how many?:

How many working surfaces/areas are visible: 3 (but 2 of them may be the same surface, only that there was a slight indentation between the two that did not get ground)

The working surfaces/areas are: smoothed only - show use-related polish - can't tell.

The working surfaces/areas extend: completely - partially across the item as preserved.

The working surfaces measure (do each separately):

6.11cm x 9.19cm 3.11cm x 9.22cm 2.92 x 9.08cm

The end-to-end shape of this mano is: equal in width throughout - smaller on the end(s) than in the middle. If it is larger in the center, give the dimensions or diameters:

Minimum:

Maximum:

If an end is preserved, the end shows: pecking-grinding-striations-a smooth surface-a polished surface - can't tell.

The sides show (mark all that are appropriate): pecking - grinding - striations - smoothed areas - polished areas.

If the item is broken, the broken end: is sharp-is somewhat sharp, but appears eroded - has been battered or chipped.


If the end seems to be battered or chipped, this seems to be the result of: accident/depositional conditions - deliberate use - cannot tell.

Item is: not drawn - drawn (where?)

Comments:

The ends are possibly ~~not~~ the original ends but are so worn (it appears to be depositional wear) that its really hard to tell

Mano in
cross section



598 H/007-1